(c) the reasons for which the AIIMS is going the private hospital way;

(d) whether the AIIMS faculty has opposed these healthcare packages;

(e) if so, the details thereof; and

(f) the measures taken not to approve the above proposal which amounts to increase revenue by the AIIMS at the cost of poor patients who will suffer if clinical manpower is siphoned off in the name of executive healthcare?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRIMATI PANABAKA LAKSHMI): (a) to (f) The All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi has invited suggestions from various departments within the institute for introducing comprehensive health check up facility packages for prevention as well as early detection of potentially curable diseases. No final decision has been taken by the AIIMS for implementing the proposal.

National Leprosy Eradication programme

†1102. SHRI P.K. MAHESHWARI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether National Leprosy Eradication Programme is running behind the target;

(b) if so, the number of lepers identified during the last three years, State-wise;

(c) whether the target of the said programme was to bring down number of lapers by the year 2003 to one leper per ten thousand;

(d) if so, whether the said target has been achieved; and

(e) if not, the reasons for delay in achieving the said target?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFAR (DR. ANBUMANI RAMADOSS): (a) No, Sir. The programme is making satisfactory progress towards achieving the goal of elimination by December, 2005. Already 17 States/UTs have achieved the goal and another 7 States are moving towards this goal.

---

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(b) The required information is given in the Statement. (See below)

(c) As per the Government of India, National Health Policy 2002, the goal of leprosy elimination is to be achieved by the end of the year 2005.

(d) and (e) In view of (c) above, (d) and (e) does not arise.

Statement

Number of New Case of Detection during last three years

S.No.	State/UT	New Case Detection		
		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Andhra Pradesh	54019	39115	31816
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	120	126	104
3.	Assam	2485	1570	1331
4.	Bihar	120080	94561	65019
5.	Goa	351	294	320
6.	Gujarat	11995	11564	10229
7.	Haryana	768	718	643
8.	Himachal Pradesh	263	280	308
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	723	572	356
10.	Karnataka	20182	13071	10598
11.	Kerala	2420	2512	1891
12.	Madhya Pradesh	18842	16570	12699
13.	Maharashtra	49263	48549	44192
14.	Manipur	166	108	53
15.	Meghalaya	48	78	17
16.	Mizoram	24	23	18
17.	Nagaland	73	58	55
18.	Orissa	48144	38349	21201
19.	Punjab	1457	1356	1345
20.	Rajasthan	2051	2212	2000

1	2	3	4	5
21.	Sikkim	73	46	47
22.	Tamil Nadu	42101	24767	16051
23.	Tripura	110	80	93
24.	Uttar Pradesh	112089	90586	80072
25.	West Bengal	46620	32243	25050
26.	A & N Islands	67	60	55
27.	Chandigarh	350	323	346
28.	D & N Haveli	365	268	616
29.	Daman & Diu	44	18	5
30.	Delhi	5593	5975	5362
31.	Lakshadweep	13	27	4
32.	Pondicherry	721	225	226
33.	Jharkhand	45602	28982	17719
34.	Chhattisgarh	28230	18468	15385
35.	Uttaranchal	2541	2246	1917
TOTAL		617993	476000	367143

Running CGHS Dispensary No. 53

1103. SHRI KRIPAL PARMAR: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that C.G.H.S. dispensary No. 53 situated at Rajouri Garden, New Delhi-27 is still running in a rented building, despite the fact that a separate plot has already been allotted and earmarked for the purpose for the last ten years;

(b) if so, the reasons therefor; and

(c) by when the building is likely to be constructed and dispensary will be made operational there?